

## On the isotropic averaging of fifth-order cartesian tensors

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1975 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 8 L87

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/8/9/001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.88

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 05:10

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

On the isotropic averaging of fifth-order Cartesian tensors

W P Healy

Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Received 1 August 1975

**Abstract.** We demonstrate the equivalence of two published formulae for the isotropic averaging of fifth-order Cartesian tensors.

General formulae for the isotropic averaging of three-dimensional Cartesian tensors of order not exceeding six have been published recently (Power and Thirunamachandran 1974, Healy 1974). These obviate the necessity of expressing physical quantities in terms of spherical tensors in order to carry through the averaging process and are of wide applicability, eg to multipole moments of molecules randomly oriented in solution. Some years ago Kielich (1961, 1968) presented results which, except for the case of fifth-order tensors, are evidently equivalent to those in the papers cited above. Our aim in this note is to demonstrate the equivalence of the fifth-order formulae given by equations (4) and (5) below and which are due to Kielich (1968) and to Power and Thirunamachandran (1974) respectively.

The problem of isotropically averaging an  $n$ th-order tensor reduces to the determination of the quantities

$$I_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n; \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n}^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} R_{i_1 \lambda_1} R_{i_2 \lambda_2} \dots R_{i_n \lambda_n} \sin \theta \, d\phi \, d\theta \, d\psi. \quad (1)$$

$(R_{i\lambda}(\phi, \theta, \psi))$  is the  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix of direction cosines specifying the relative orientation of the laboratory and body-fixed frames and is parameterized by the Euler angles  $\phi, \theta, \psi$ .  $I^{(n)}$  is expressible (Power and Thirunamachandran 1974, Healy 1975) in a bilinear form,

$$I_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n; \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n}^{(n)} = \sum_r \sum_s T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^r A_{rs} T_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n}^s \quad (2)$$

where the  $A_{rs}$  are numerical coefficients and  $\{T^r\}$  is a maximal linearly independent set of  $n$ th-order isotropic tensors. (By an  $m$ -dimensional isotropic tensor is meant here a tensor invariant under the proper orthogonal group  $R_m$  and not necessarily invariant under the full orthogonal group  $O_m$ .) In three dimensions the  $n$ th-order isotropic tensors (Jeffreys 1973) are sums of products of  $\frac{1}{2}n$  Kronecker deltas ( $n$  even) or of  $\frac{1}{2}(n-3)$  Kronecker deltas and one Levi-Civita alternating tensor ( $n \geq 3$  and odd).

Smith (1968) has given a procedure to determine a maximal independent set for any particular  $m$  and  $n$ . For  $m = 3$  and  $n = 5$  this procedure yields

$$\{T_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5}^r\} = \{\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5}, \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_5}, \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_5} \delta_{i_3 i_4}, \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5}, \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_4}, \epsilon_{i_1 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_3}\}. \quad (3)$$

The orthogonality properties of the matrix  $(R_{i\lambda})$  may now be used on the right-hand sides of equations (1) and (2) to deduce the values of the  $A_{rs}$ . The result is Kielich's formula, which may be written

$$I_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5; \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{30} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5} \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_5} \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_5} \delta_{i_3 i_4} \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5} \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_4} \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_3} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \delta_{\lambda_4 \lambda_5} \\ \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_5} \\ \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} \\ \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_5} \\ \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_4} \\ \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

T denotes the transposed matrix and we have assumed that both frames have the same handedness, so that  $\det(R_{i\lambda})$  is unity.

There are in all ten distinct isomers (Smith 1968) of  $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5}$ . The remaining four— $\epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_1 i_5}$ ,  $\epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_4}$ ,  $\epsilon_{i_2 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_3}$  and  $\epsilon_{i_3 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_2}$ —must therefore be linearly dependent on the six isomers appearing in equation (3). Smith's procedure does not give the explicit linear combinations; we have derived them by elementary means.

We denote the complete set of ten isomers by  $\{S^r\}$  and the zero fifth-order tensor by  $O$ , and suppose

$$\sum_{r=1}^{10} a_r S^r_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5} \equiv O.$$

Assigning all possible values to the tensorial suffixes we obtain for the  $a_r$  a system of  $3^5$  homogeneous equations of which only ten are non-trivial and distinct. The  $10 \times 10$  matrix of coefficients of the  $a_r$  in these equations has rank six, and the four independent solutions imply the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_1 i_5} &= \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5} - \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_5} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5} \\ \epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_4} &= \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5} - \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_5} \delta_{i_3 i_4} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_4} \\ \epsilon_{i_2 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_3} &= \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_5} - \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_5} \delta_{i_3 i_4} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_3} \\ \epsilon_{i_3 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_2} &= \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5} - \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_4} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_3}. \end{aligned}$$

If these are used in conjunction with the formula of Power and Thirunamachandran, namely

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5; \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}^{(5)} &= \frac{1}{30} (\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_4 i_5} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \delta_{\lambda_4 \lambda_5} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_5} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_5} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_5} \delta_{i_3 i_4} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} \\ &+ \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_5} + \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_4} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_4} \\ &+ \epsilon_{i_1 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_2 i_3} \epsilon_{\lambda_1 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3} + \epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_1 i_5} \epsilon_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_5} + \epsilon_{i_2 i_3 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_4} \epsilon_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_4} \\ &+ \epsilon_{i_2 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_3} \epsilon_{\lambda_2 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_3} + \epsilon_{i_3 i_4 i_5} \delta_{i_1 i_2} \epsilon_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

then equation (4) is immediately recovered.

I thank Professor S Kielich for an informative communication. This work was done during the tenure of a Science Research Council Studentship.

**References**

- Healy W P 1974 *J. Phys. B: Atom. Molec. Phys.* **7** 1633-48  
— 1975 *PhD Thesis* University of London  
Jeffreys H 1973 *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **73** 173-6  
Kielich S 1961 *Acta Phys. Pol.* **20** 433-45  
— 1968 *Bull. Soc. Amis Sci. Lett. Poznań B* **21** 47-55  
Power E A and Thirunamachandran T 1974 *J. Chem. Phys.* **60** 3695-701  
Smith G F 1968 *Tensor* **19** 79-88